

Subsegmental pulmonary embolism: treatment is not routinely needed

F.A. (Erik) Klok MD, PhD
Department of Medicine - Thrombosis and Hemostasis
LUMC, Leiden, Netherlands
✓ F.A.Klok@LUMC.nl



Disclosures

I have no conflicts of interest for this lecture

Affiliation/financial interest

Grant/research support:

Consultant:

Major stock/shareholder:

Advisory board:

Company/party

Bayer, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Boehringer-Ingelheim, MSD, Leo Pharma, Actelion, The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development, The Dutch Thrombosis Association, The Dutch Heart Foundation and the Horizon Europe Program



✓ 2.10 m

- One of the brightest minds in VTE
 research land
- ✓ (future) key opinion leader

Giants do get it wrong sometimes....



Giants do get it wrong sometimes....



26-year old man with subacute chest pain

- No relevant medical history
- Pleuritic left-sided chest pain that started 3 days ago
- ✓ No fever or notable dyspnea
- ✓ No symptoms of DVT

- ✓ BP 126/82, HR 68/min, sat 98%
- ✓ Physical examination: unremarkable
- ✓ ECG/lab/X-ray normal, CRP 16, D-dimer
 508





26-year old man with subacute chest pain

- No relevant medical history
- Pleuritic left-sided chest pain that started 3 days ago
- ✓ No fever or notable dyspnea
- ✓ No symptoms of DVT

- ✓ BP 126/82, HR 68/min, sat 98%
- ✓ Physical examination: unremarkable
- ✓ ECG/lab/X-ray normal, CRP 16, D-dimer
 508

✓ CTPA: small ssPE right





Would you initiate anticoagulant treatment?





I would strongly consider not to....

1 Overdiagnosis

2

3

Evidence from intervention studies

Back-up from guidelines

Overdiagnosis: increasing prevalence over time



Table 2. Rates of Pulmonary Embolism and Deep Vein Thrombosis at Baseline and at 3 Months of Follow-up

	No. (%) of Baseline Patients		
	CTPA (n = 694)	√/Q (n = 712)	
PE alone	94 (13.5)	64 (9.0)	
PE and DVT	29 (4.2)	19 (2.7)	
DVT Total	10 (1.4)	18 (2.5)	
Proximal	7 (1.0)	11 (1.5)	
Total VTE ^a	133 (19.2)	101 (14.2)	
	Three-Month Follow-up		
	(n = 561)	(n = 611)	
PE total	2 (0.4)	4 (0.7)	
Fatal PE	0	1 (0.2)	
DVT	0	2 (0.3)	
Total VTE ^b	2 (0.4)	6 (1.0)	

Table 2. Rates of Pulmonary Embolism and Deep Vein Thrombosis at Baseline and at 3 Months of Follow-up

	No. (%) of Baseline Patients	
	CTPA (n = 694)	√/Q (n = 712)
PE alone	94 (13.5)	64 (9.0)
PE and DVT	29 (4.2)	19 (2.7)
DVT Total	10 (1.4)	18 (2.5)
Proximal	7 (1.0)	11 (1.5)
Total VTE ^a	133 (19.2)	101 (14.2)
	Three-Mont	h Follow-up
	(n = 561)	(n = 611)
PE total	2 (0.4)	4 (0.7)
Fatal PE	0	1 (0.2)
DVT	0	2 (0.3)
Total VTE ^b	2 (0.4)	6 (1.0)



Anderson DR et al, JAMA 2007

Overdiagnosis: less ssPE with moderns algoritms



van Belle A et al, JAMA 2006; van der Hulle T et al, Lancet 2017; van der Pol L et al, Br J Hematol 2018

Evidence from clinical studies

Meta-analysis of published literature

- ✓ 126 patient left untreated:
 - ➢ Risk of bleeding: 0%
 - Risk of recurrent VTE: 3.9% (95%CI 1.8-13%)

✓ 589 patients treated:

- Risk of bleeding: 8.1% (95%Cl 2.8-16%)
- Risk of recurrent VTE: 5.3% (95%CI 1.6-11%)

Annals of Internal Medicine



Risk for Recurrent Venous Thromboembolism in Patients With Subsegmental Pulmonary Embolism Managed Without Anticoagulation

A Multicenter Prospective Cohort Study

Grégoire Le Gal, MD, PhD; Michael J. Kovacs, MD; Laurent Bertoletti, MD, PhD; Francis Couturaud, MD, PhD; Carole Dennie, MD; Andrew M. Hirsch, MD; Menno V. Huisman, MD, PhD; Frederikus A. Klok, MD, PhD; Noémie Kraaijpoel, MD, PhD; Ranjeeta Mallick, PhD; Amanda Pecarskie, BSc; Elena Pena, MD; Penny Phillips, BSc; Isabelle Pichon, BSc; Tim Ramsay, PhD; Marc Righini, MD; Marc A. Rodger, MD; Pierre-Marie Roy, MD, PhD; Olivier Sanchez, MD, PhD; Jeannot Schmidt, MD, PhD; Sam Schulman, MD; Sudeep Shivakumar, MD; Albert Trinh-Duc, MD; Rachel Verdet, BSc; Ulric Vinsonneau, MD; Philip Wells, MD; Cynthia Wu, MD; Erik Yeo, MD; and Marc Carrier, MD; on behalf of the SSPE Investigators*

Evidence from clinical studies

Exclusion criteria



Le Gal G et al, Ann Int Med 2021

Evidence from clinical studies

Figure 1. Study flow diagram.



Le Gal G et al, Ann Int Med 2021



Primary outcome in 8 patients, cumulative 90-day incidence of 3.1% (95% CI, 1.6% to 6.1%)



More frequently in single than multiple ssPE's (HR 2.7, 0.7-11)



More frequently in elderly (>65 years) (HR 3.2, 0.8-14)

Le Gal G et al, Ann Int Med 2021



Whether to Anticoagulate Subsegmental PE

*19. In patients with subsegmental PE (no involvement of more proximal pulmonary arteries) and no proximal DVT in the legs who have a (i) low risk for recurrent VTE (see text), we suggest clinical surveillance over anticoagulation (Grade 2C) or (ii) high risk for recurrent VTE (see text), we suggest anticoagulation over clinical surveillance (Grade 2C). 3. In patients with subsegmental pulmonary embolism (PE) (no involvement of more proximal pulmonary arteries) and no proximal DVT in the legs who have a (i) low risk for recurrent VTE (see text), we suggest clinical surveillance over anticoagulation (weak recommendation, low-certainty evidence) or (ii) high risk for recurrent VTE (see text), we suggest anticoagulation over clinical surveillance (weak recommendation, low-certainty evidence).

Back-up from guidelines

Recommendations	C lass ^a	Level ^b
For patients with PE and cancer, weight-adjusted subcutaneous LMWH should be considered for the first 6 months over VKAs. ³⁶⁰⁻³⁶³	lla	А
Edoxaban should be considered as an alternative to weight-adjusted subcutaneous LMWH in patients without gastrointes- tinal cancer. ³⁶⁶	lla	В
Rivaroxaban should be considered as an alternative to weight-adjusted subcutaneous LMWH in patients without gastroin- testinal cancer. ³⁶⁷	lla	с
For patients with PE and cancer, extended anticoagulation (beyond the first 6 months) ^c should be considered for an indef- inite period or until the cancer is cured. ³⁷⁸	lla	В
In patients with cancer, management of incidental PE in the same manner as symptomatic PE should be considered, if it involves segmental or more proximal branches, multiple subsegmental vessels, or a single subsegmental vessel in association with proven DVT. ^{376,377}	lla	в

Reasonable approach

Subsegmental PE?

✓ Check with expert radiologist to confirm

✓ Assess risk factors of bleeding and recurrence

✓ Consider surveillance over anticoagulation if low risk subsegmental PE and no DVT

Poor interobserver agreement



Pena E et al, J Thromb Haemost 2012

Pulmonary artery anatomy



Hypothesis: definition of subsegmental PE





Selection of experts based on publication records



Multiple choice questions based on hypothesis



As many rounds as needed to achieve >70% consensus

First Delphi round (radiological experts)



27/40 consented to participate

12 countries, most affiliated to Fleischner Society

Consensus reached after 2 rounds

2 1 PA 1 2 3 4 1 2 4 6 8 4 5 6 8 4 9 10 9 10

Pulmonary Arterial Anatomy

Most notable results







77%: diameter does not contribute

88%: definition only based on anatomical location

78%: filling defect visible in >1 subsequent axial slices

Most notable results







89%: desired max. reconstructed slice thickness is ≤1mm. 70%: perfusionimaging mostlydoes notcontribute

93%: reading approach is systematically from center to periphery per lobe/segment

A contrast defect in a subsegmental artery, i.e. the **first arterial branch**

division of any segmental artery independent of artery diameter, visible

in at least two subsequent axial slices, using a CT scanner with a

desired maximum collimator width of ≤1mm





Presence of substantial motion artefacts should be excluded, preferable in the 'lung window'



Reviewer should make sure that contrast resolution is sufficient to identify contrast defects in the subsegmental level



"Isolated subsegmental pulmonary embolism" refers to subsegmental pulmonary embolism in one subsegmental artery



Second Delphi round (clinical experts)



40/51 consented to participate





Consensus reached after 2 rounds

Second Delphi round (clinical experts)



Agreement on definition: 83%



Agreement on recommendation: **79%**

Second Delphi round (clinical experts)



Agreement on not treating incidental ssPE in absence of these circumstances (76%)

Other notable results







95%: preferred drug class same as for proximal PE 77%: treatment duration same as for proximal PE

90%: Bleeding risk carries a greater weight in discontinuation AC than for proximal PE

Conclusion



Radiological criteria for ssPE that can be applied both in clinical trials and practice



Broad consensus among international experts



Overview of best practice of ssPE management